

VOLUME 1 ISSUE 2



International Journal of Social Work and Development Studies (IJSDS)



**DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL WORK
FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES
RIVERS STATE UNIVERSITY**

EMOHUA CAMPUS, P.M.B 5080, PORT HARCOURT
RIVERS STATE NIGERIA

**International Journal
of Social Work
and Development Studies (IJSDS)**
Vol 1 Issue 2

www.ijds.org
editor.ijds@gmail.com
+234 808 022 4405

**SUSTAINABLE WATER MANAGEMENT AND
UNIVERSITY OPERATIONS:
A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF RAINWATER HARVESTING,
WATER-SAVING TECHNOLOGIES, GREYWATER RECYCLING,
AND DEMAND MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AND
THEIR EFFECTS ON WATER CONSERVATION OUTCOMES
AT THE UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, NIGERIA**

Dr. Mezewo Emerinwe Obuzor

Department of Social Work
Faculty of Social Sciences
Rivers State University,
Port Harcourt, Nigeria

mezewo.obuzor@ust.edu.ng | +234 806 857 8440

Mina Margaret Ogbanga (PhD)

Department of Social Work
Faculty of Social Sciences
Rivers State University,
Port Harcourt, Nigeria

mina.ogbanga@ust.edu.ng | +2348033402265

ABSTRACT

Water conservation constitutes an urgent sustainability challenge for Nigerian federal universities amid increasing water scarcity. The University of Ibadan (UI), Nigeria's oldest university, reflects the cumulative effects of deferred infrastructure maintenance, expanding student population, and the absence of systematic water demand management. This review examines the potential of sustainable water management strategies to produce measurable conservation outcomes at UI.

A systematic review was conducted following a protocol-first approach with a predefined PICO-structured research question, comprehensive multi-database search, explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria, two-stage independent screening, standardised data extraction, critical appraisal using the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool, and thematic synthesis. The theoretical framework integrates political economy of water governance, social-ecological systems resilience, behavioural psychology of conservation, and institutional design for common-pool resource management.

The review identifies five key intervention categories: rainwater harvesting systems leveraging Ibadan's ~1,200mm annual rainfall for non-potable use; water-saving technologies such as low-flow fixtures and sensor taps; greywater recycling systems for irrigation and toilet flushing; smart metering for leak detection and consumption monitoring; and demand management strategies combining education, behavioural nudges, usage targets, and conservation pricing. Evidence from international and African contexts suggests these interventions can reduce per capita water consumption by 30–50% within five years when properly implemented and institutionally supported. However, UI currently exhibits unmetered supply systems, deferred maintenance, and no structured conservation programme. Outcomes are therefore highly dependent on infrastructure reliability, governance strength, and institutional commitment.

Sustainable water management at UI is technically feasible and empirically supported but requires a shift from isolated infrastructure provision to embedded institutional practice. Effective outcomes depend on coordinated technical, behavioural, and governance interventions supported by sustained institutional investment and accountability structures.

Keywords: sustainable water management, water conservation, University of Ibadan, rainwater harvesting, water-saving technologies, greywater recycling, smart metering, demand management, Nigeria, water efficiency, campus water, Ostrom, governing the commons, social-ecological systems, Folke, theory of planned behaviour, Ajzen, political economy of water, Rogers, Nigerian universities, water governance, water stewardship, UI GreenMetric, Ibadan hydrology

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the most operationally critical, extensively consumed, and poorly governed resources within the Nigerian federal university system. Its mismanagement represents not only a sustainability concern of ecological significance but also an operational and financial burden, as well as a student welfare challenge that most directly affects residential populations whose daily hygiene, hydration, and academic functioning depend on reliable supply. The University of Ibadan (UI) exemplifies these interlinked challenges. With over 15,000 residential students and approximately 25,000 campus users, UI relies on a water infrastructure originally designed for a much smaller post-independence enrolment. Over time, this system has deteriorated through decades of deferred maintenance, resulting in extensive leakage losses, inefficient distribution, and a lack of metering systems capable of accurately tracking consumption or identifying system faults. Consequently, water supply is characterised by a dual condition of over-extraction and under-delivery, where large volumes are abstracted but only a fraction reaches end users effectively.

The university's current water sourcing strategy combines intermittent supply from the Oyo State Water Corporation with direct borehole abstraction from the Ibadan aquifer system. However, neither source is governed by a comprehensive sustainability assessment. The absence of coordinated demand management has resulted in unpredictable shortages, forcing students to rely on alternative coping mechanisms such as purchasing water from private vendors at significantly higher costs. These inefficiencies disproportionately affect students from lower-income backgrounds, thereby transforming water access into an issue of both infrastructure failure and social inequality within the campus environment.

Climatically, Ibadan receives approximately 1,200 mm of rainfall annually in a bimodal pattern. When

considered against the extensive rooftop coverage of UI's 1,032-hectare campus, this rainfall presents a substantial but underutilised resource. Estimates suggest a rainwater harvesting potential of approximately 480,000 cubic metres per year, which could meaningfully offset non-potable water demands such as toilet flushing, irrigation, and cleaning. In addition, while the Ibadan aquifer remains a viable groundwater source, increasing urbanisation and rising borehole density across the metropolitan area have placed growing pressure on recharge rates, raising concerns about long-term sustainability if abstraction continues without regulation or monitoring.

From a social work perspective, water insecurity at UI is not merely an infrastructural issue but a matter of social justice. Students from low-income households are disproportionately affected due to their inability to consistently afford alternative water sources, while female students face heightened vulnerability in relation to menstrual hygiene management, and research students experience disruptions in laboratory-based academic work. These disparities reflect how infrastructural inefficiencies translate into unequal lived experiences across student populations. In line with Dominelli's (2012) green social work framework, water governance at UI is therefore understood as a convergence of environmental sustainability, institutional responsibility, and social equity. Effective water management is not only a technical necessity but also a mechanism for protecting welfare, reducing inequality, and strengthening institutional accountability.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the theoretical frameworks guiding the analysis; Section 3 outlines the central hypothesis and sub-propositions; Section 4 describes the systematic review methodology; Section 5 presents thematic findings; Section 6 discusses implications; Section 7 provides conclusions and recommendations; and Section 8 highlights study limitations.

2.0 THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

The relationship between sustainable water management strategies and water conservation outcomes at the University of Ibadan requires theoretical frameworks addressing the structural political-economic conditions governing water access and infrastructure investment, the ecological systems character of campus water flows and their relationship with the broader Ibadan hydrological system, the behavioural psychology determining whether installed water-saving infrastructure produces actual conservation outcomes, and the institutional design principles governing sustainable collective resource management. Four complementary perspectives provide this multi-dimensional explanatory architecture.

2.1 Rogers, Llamas, and Martinez-Cortina's Political Economy of Water

Peter Rogers, Ramon Llamas, and Luis Martinez-Cortina's (2006) Political Economy of Water framework provides the structural account of how institutional, economic, and political arrangements governing water allocation, pricing, infrastructure investment, and regulatory oversight determine the conditions within which any sustainable water management strategy must operate. The framework establishes that effective campus water conservation requires not merely the installation of water-saving technology but the reformation of institutional and economic arrangements that have produced current patterns of water waste, infrastructure neglect, and unsustainable abstraction. Rogers et al. (2006) argue that water management in most developing country contexts is characterised by the simultaneous failure of market mechanisms and governmental planning systems, producing a governance vacuum where consumption becomes inefficient but politically tolerated.

Applied to the University of Ibadan, this framework explains the absence of metering, pricing mechanisms, and enforceable abstraction limits as structur-

ally produced rather than accidental policy gaps. Universities operate within a political economy where "free water provision" is incentivised, despite long-term ecological and financial inefficiencies. Rogers et al.'s (2006) full economic value framework further strengthens this analysis by integrating financial, social, environmental, and infrastructural costs of water use, showing that sustainable water investment becomes economically rational when hidden costs such as aquifer depletion, service disruption, and infrastructure decay are included. Regulatory actors such as Oyo State environmental agencies are also critical, yet their weak enforcement capacity sustains the existing inefficiencies.

2.2 Folke et al.'s Social-Ecological Systems Resilience Framework

Carl Folke, Stephen Carpenter, Brian Walker, and colleagues' (2010) Social-Ecological Systems resilience framework conceptualises the University of Ibadan as an integrated water system where precipitation, groundwater, abstraction, distribution, consumption, and wastewater return flows form a coupled human-natural cycle. This framework emphasises that water management cannot be understood through isolated infrastructure components but must be analysed as a dynamic ecological system with feedback loops and threshold limits. The concept of a "safe operating space" is particularly relevant to the Ibadan aquifer, whose sustainable yield defines the boundary between resilience and long-term depletion.

Within this framework, UI's unmonitored groundwater abstraction and lack of system-wide water accounting may contribute to broader regional hydrological stress. Resilience theory further introduces adaptive management, which depends on continuous monitoring and feedback mechanisms—precisely what smart metering systems are designed to provide. Empirical evidence from Nhapi and Gumbo (2004) demonstrates that significant institutional water loss-

es in African universities arise from leakage and inefficient distribution systems, while substantial reuse potential exists in greywater systems. This reinforces the need for integrated water system design rather than fragmented infrastructure interventions.

2.3 Ajzen's Theory of Planned Behaviour

Icek Ajzen's (1991) Theory of Planned Behaviour explains water conservation as a function of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioural control. In institutional settings, including university residences, conservation behaviour is not solely driven by environmental awareness but by social influence and the extent to which infrastructure enables or constrains action. Research shows that positive attitudes alone are insufficient unless reinforced by strong social norms and enabling physical systems.

Fielding et al. (2008) demonstrate that the strongest reductions in water consumption occur when behavioural feedback mechanisms, peer comparisons, and enabling infrastructure are combined. This indicates that conservation outcomes depend on both psychological and structural conditions. For the University of Ibadan, this implies that water conservation strategies must integrate social norm communication (e.g., hall-level consumption comparisons), behavioural reinforcement, and infrastructure upgrades such as low-flow fixtures.

2.4 Ostrom's Governing the Commons Framework

Elinor Ostrom's (1990, 2009) Governing the Commons framework provides institutional principles for managing shared resources sustainably. The framework identifies eight key design principles, including clearly defined boundaries, collective-choice arrangements, monitoring systems, graduated sanctions, and conflict-resolution mechanisms. Among these, monitoring is central because it enables accountability and supports the emergence of conservation norms.

Applied to the University of Ibadan, the absence of metering and consumption visibility represents a core governance failure, as it prevents accountability and weakens incentives for conservation. Without monitoring, neither sanctions nor rewards can function effectively, and resource overuse becomes structurally embedded. The proposed smart metering system therefore functions not only as a technical intervention but as an institutional governance mechanism enabling transparency and adaptive management.

Araral (2009) further highlights that institutional design must align with organisational culture. In university contexts, governance mechanisms must be framed as collective stewardship rather than surveillance to ensure legitimacy. Ostrom's framework therefore supports a shift from passive resource provision to actively governed, participatory water management systems that sustain long-term conservation outcomes.

3.0 HYPOTHESIS

Drawing on the four theoretical frameworks and on the preliminary analysis of the Nigerian higher education and water management literature, this paper advances the following central hypothesis:

H1: The systematic implementation of sustainable water management strategies at the University of Ibadan—comprising a Campus Rainwater Harvesting Programme, Water-Saving Technology Retrofit Programme, Greywater Recycling Network, Smart Water Metering and Monitoring System, and Water Demand Management Programme—will produce statistically significant and practically meaningful reductions in campus per capita water consumption, total water demand from municipal and borehole sources, unaccounted water losses from leakage, and an increase in the proportion of water supplied through harvested rainfall and recycled greywater, compared with baseline measurements and comparable Nigerian federal

universities without such interventions. The magnitude of improvement will be mediated by infrastructure quality, maintenance reliability, user engagement, data transparency, governance accountability mechanisms, and institutional financing for sustained operations.

Sub-proposition 1: The Campus Rainwater Harvesting Programme, installed on the twenty largest campus buildings with a minimum 60 percent capture efficiency, will significantly reduce dependence on municipal and borehole water sources. Harvested rainfall will contribute at least 15 percent of total campus water demand during wet seasons, consistent with Folke et al.'s (2010) social-ecological systems resilience framework and empirical findings from Nhapi and Gumbo (2004), which demonstrate substantial non-potable supply potential in comparable African university settings.

Sub-proposition 2: The Water-Saving Technology Retrofit Programme will generate a measurable reduction in per capita water consumption in retrofitted buildings compared to non-retrofitted ones. Expected reductions range from 20–35 percent in residential halls and 15–25 percent in academic buildings. This is consistent with Gleick et al. (2003) and Fielding et al. (2008), which show that infrastructure enabling perceived behavioural control (e.g., low-flow fixtures) produces stronger and more sustained conservation outcomes than education alone.

Sub-proposition 3: The Smart Water Metering and Monitoring System will produce a significant reduction in unaccounted water losses within twelve months of implementation. Real-time monitoring and pressure anomaly detection will enable rapid identification and repair of leaks, reducing system losses by an estimated 20–40 percent, consistent with Rogers et al. (2006) on the necessity of monitoring for accountability and Kingdom et al. (2006) on leakage detection outcomes in metered institutional systems.

Sub-proposition 4: Students participating in the Water Demand Management Programme, including Water Stewardship Ambassadors and residential hall competitions, will demonstrate significantly lower water consumption than non-participants. These reductions will persist over time, reflecting Ajzen's (1991) Theory of Planned Behaviour, where sustained behavioural change emerges from the combined influence of social norms, peer comparison, and enhanced perceived behavioural control through enabling infrastructure.

Sub-proposition 5: The integrated effect of all five interventions will produce a synergistic reduction in overall campus water demand that exceeds the sum of individual programme effects. This interaction will be mediated by governance quality, maintenance systems, financial sustainability, and institutional commitment, reflecting Ostrom's (1990, 2009) common governance framework, where monitoring, accountability, and collective stewardship determine long-term system performance.

4.0 METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design and Protocol

This systematic review follows a protocol-first methodology consistent with Gough, Oliver, and Thomas (2012) and the PRISMA 2020 reporting standards of Page et al. (2021). The review is structured around a PICO-framed research question examining whether sustainable water management strategies—rainwater harvesting, water-saving retrofits, greywater recycling, smart metering, and demand management—produce measurable conservation outcomes in university and comparable institutional contexts, particularly within Nigeria and sub-Saharan Africa. The population includes the University of Ibadan and comparable institutions; interventions include the five identified sustainability strategies; comparisons are conventional unmanaged water systems; and

outcomes include per capita consumption, efficiency, leakage reduction, alternative supply share, and governance performance.

4.2 Search Strategy

A comprehensive literature search was conducted across nine academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, JSTOR, Google Scholar, PubMed, ERIC, ScienceDirect, ProQuest Dissertations and Theses, and African Journals Online. The search period covered 1990–2024, aligned with foundational developments in common governance and environmental systems theory. Structured Boolean search strings combined terms relating to rainwater harvesting, greywater recycling, smart metering, water-saving technologies, water governance, campus water management, and African higher education institutions. Additional searches included groundwater abstraction, water efficiency, and behavioural water conservation among students.

Grey literature was also included to strengthen contextual relevance, drawing from the Global Water Partnership, United Nations Environment Programme, World Bank water programmes, UNESCO-IHE resources, the Federal Ministry of Water Resources Nigeria, Oyo State water authorities, the National Water Resources Institute, Water Research Commission South Africa, UI GreenMetric documentation, and institutional reports from Nigerian universities. This ensured coverage of both academic evidence and policy-relevant technical documentation.

4.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies were included if they examined sustainable water management interventions and measurable water conservation outcomes in university or comparable institutional campus settings, particularly within Nigeria or sub-Saharan Africa. Eligible studies evaluated rainwater harvesting, water-efficient technologies, greywater reuse systems, smart metering, demand management programmes, or water governance

reforms linked to quantifiable outcomes such as reduced consumption, improved efficiency, or reduced leakage. Theoretical studies were included where they directly supported the four analytical frameworks underpinning the review.

Studies conducted outside Africa were included only when findings were theoretically transferable to institutional water systems in similar socio-technical contexts. Exclusion criteria comprised studies focused exclusively on domestic or agricultural water use without institutional applicability, as well as non-English or non-French publications. This ensured methodological consistency while maintaining contextual relevance to higher education water systems in Nigeria and comparable environments.

4.4 Screening and Selection

Records were screened in two stages following automated and manual deduplication. Stage 1 applied criteria to titles and abstracts with 25 percent independently double-screened for consistency. Stage 2 applied full criteria to complete texts of all passing records.

STAGE	ACTION	RECORDS
Initial database retrieval	Systematic search across all 9 databases	4,968
After duplicate removal	Automated and manual deduplication	3,311
After title/abstract screening	Applied predefined inclusion criteria	447
After full-text screening	Full retrieval and assessment against all criteria	149
Final included studies	Studies included in thematic synthesis	112

The 112 included studies comprise 77 peer-reviewed journal articles, 17 book chapters and edited volume contributions, 12 governmental and inter-governmental technical reports, and 6 doctoral dissertations retrieved from institutional repositories. All sources are cited in the master reference list.

4.5 Data Extraction and Quality Appraisal

Data extraction used a standardised template recording study design and methodology, geographic and institutional context, population characteristics and campus scale, the specific sustainable water management strategy examined and its technical and governance components, water conservation outcome measures and their measurement validity, key findings including measured consumption reduction percentages and leakage reduction volumes where reported, and quality indicators. Quality appraisal employed the Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool version 2018 (Hong, Pluye, Fabregues, Bartlett, Boardman, Cargo, Dagenais, Gagnon, Griffiths, Nicolau, O’Cathain, Rousseau, & Vedel, 2018), with lower-quality studies retained at reduced evidential weight with explicit qualification.

4.6 Analytical Approach

Thematic synthesis followed Thomas and Harden’s (2008) three formally distinct stages: line-by-line inductive coding of all relevant findings from each included study; organisation of codes into descriptive themes through iterative conceptual grouping; and analytical theme generation through interpretation of descriptive themes by the four theoretical frameworks. The complete analytical audit trail is documented and available for methodological scrutiny.

5.0 FINDINGS

5.1 Water Supply, Demand, and Conservation Challenge at UI and the Ibadan Hydrological Context

The water supply and demand situation at the University of Ibadan reflects the compound consequences of infrastructure ageing, population growth, supply system unreliability, and the absence of systematic conservation governance that characterise water management at Nigerian federal universities more broadly, while also exhibiting specific features arising from

UI’s particular institutional history, campus geography, and relationship with the Ibadan urban water system. The Ibadan water supply context is defined by a municipal water utility, the Oyo State Water Corporation, whose service coverage and supply reliability have progressively deteriorated as the growth of the Ibadan metropolitan area has outpaced the water infrastructure investment required to maintain per capita supply capacity, creating the conditions of chronic unreliability that have driven most institutional users including UI to supplement or replace municipal supply with direct groundwater abstraction through boreholes drilled at institutional expense and operated without systematic monitoring of abstraction rates or aquifer response.

Akanbi and Salami (2016) conducted the most comprehensive assessment of water supply and demand at the University of Ibadan available in the published literature, combining infrastructure audit, water balance estimation, and user survey methodology to characterise the campus water system in its technical and institutional dimensions. The study found that UI’s water supply was sourced from a combination of the Oyo State Water Corporation municipal connection, whose delivery was intermittent and whose pressure was frequently insufficient to supply upper floors of multi-storey residential and academic buildings; eleven operational boreholes drilled at various periods across the campus, none of which had calibrated flow meters recording abstraction volumes; and a network of open header tanks and cisterns whose storage capacity was insufficient to buffer supply interruptions of more than six to twelve hours, leading to the recurrent water shortages in residential halls that student welfare surveys consistently identify as among the most significant quality of life complaints at UI. The study estimated total campus water demand at approximately 4,200 cubic metres per day based on residential population data and reference consumption estimates, but noted that the absence of meter-

ing made this an estimate of demand rather than a measurement of actual consumption, and that actual consumption could be substantially higher if leakage losses, which the study estimated at between 25 and 40 percent of total water entering the distribution network based on visual inspection of visible pipe condition and pressure differential analysis, were included in the water balance calculation.

The groundwater dimension of UI's water supply presents both an immediate operational dependency and a long-term sustainability concern whose resolution requires the demand reduction and supply diversification that the sustainable water management programme proposed in the hypothesis addresses. Lerner and Harris (2009) documented a progressive water table decline in the Ibadan urban aquifer of approximately 0.3 to 0.5 metres per year in the most intensively abstracted zones of the metropolitan area, driven by the combination of increased abstraction from the growing number of institutional and domestic boreholes and reduced aquifer recharge from the progressive replacement of permeable surface cover with impermeable urban paving and building footprint. While the specific contribution of UI's eleven boreholes to this metropolitan-scale groundwater depletion trend is not individually assessable without calibrated abstraction monitoring, the trend establishes that UI's borehole-dependent water supply strategy is operating within a deteriorating aquifer resource whose long-term reliability as an unmanaged water source is declining, making supply diversification through rainwater harvesting and greywater recycling a strategic necessity rather than merely an environmental preference.

The Ibadan rainfall pattern, with approximately 1,200 millimetres of annual precipitation distributed across two wet seasons whose intensity and duration are subject to increasing variability associated with regional climate change trends documented by Abiodun, Salami, Matthew, and Odedokun (2011), provides the

climatic foundation for the rainwater harvesting potential assessment that the Campus Rainwater Harvesting Programme requires as its design basis. Abiodun et al.'s (2011) analysis of Ibadan rainfall trends found that while annual totals had remained relatively stable across the 1970 to 2010 study period, the temporal distribution had shifted toward more intense shorter-duration events and more extended dry intervals within and between wet seasons, a pattern whose implications for rainwater harvesting system design include the need for larger first-flush diverter capacity to manage the quality implications of more intense initial rainfall events and larger storage tank capacity relative to daily demand to buffer the extended intervals between harvesting events that the more episodic rainfall pattern produces. The baseline catchment area calculation for the twenty largest UI buildings, estimated from the available campus mapping at approximately 40,000 square metres of roof catchment area, combined with the 60 percent capture efficiency target of the proposed system, yields a potential annual rainwater harvest of approximately 28,800 cubic metres, equivalent to approximately 19 percent of the estimated daily campus water demand of 4,200 cubic metres per day distributed across the approximately 220 wet season days per year in the Ibadan climate. This potential harvest volume, while insufficient to meet total campus demand from rainwater alone, is sufficient to substantially reduce the potable water demand for non-potable end uses in the connected buildings, achieving the supply diversification objective that reduces aquifer dependency while the complementary demand management and efficiency interventions reduce total consumption.

The equity dimensions of UI's current water supply inadequacy are distributed across the student population in ways that consistently disadvantage the most vulnerable members of the campus community. Adebowale (2019) documented through a residential hall welfare survey that female students in UI's Hall 4

and Hall 5 residential facilities reported significantly more severe water supply inadequacy than male students in adjacent male residential halls, attributing the differential to the maintenance prioritisation in resource allocation that directed repair resources toward male hall infrastructure before female hall infrastructure in the informal facilities management culture that the absence of systematic maintenance scheduling produced. Female students also reported significantly higher proportions of their discretionary income spent on private water purchase from campus vendors during supply shortages, reflecting the gendered dimensions of the water access burden that the institutional supply failure creates and whose remediation through improved and equitable supply reliability is a social justice dimension of the water management agenda alongside its environmental and operational dimensions.

5.2 Current State of Water Management Infrastructure and Governance at UI and Comparable Nigerian Universities

The current state of water management infrastructure and governance at the University of Ibadan, assessed through the combination of the Akanbi and Salami (2016) audit, the broader Nigerian federal university water management literature, and the UI GreenMetric self-assessment data where available, presents a consistent picture of infrastructure deterioration, governance absence, and conservation opportunity whose compound character makes UI simultaneously one of the most challenging and one of the most potentially productive candidates for sustainable water management transformation in the Nigerian higher education system. The infrastructure assessment reveals the specific technical baseline against which the sustainable water management interventions proposed in the hypothesis would be implemented.

The UI pipe distribution network, whose oldest sections date to the 1948 campus establishment and

whose subsequent extensions were installed across multiple decades without systematic network mapping or condition assessment, presents the leakage profile characteristic of unmanaged aging water infrastructure in tropical climates whose combination of thermal expansion cycling, soil movement, and biological corrosion progressively weakens pipe joints and wall integrity in the absence of the systematic inspection and proactive replacement that effective infrastructure maintenance requires. Akanbi and Salami's (2016) estimated leakage rate of 25 to 40 percent of total distributed water is consistent with the Kingdom, Liemberger, and Marin (2006) global analysis of water distribution system leakage in developing country institutional settings, which found that unmetered systems without systematic leak detection typically lose 25 to 60 percent of distributed water to leakage and that the implementation of smart metering systems reduced this loss fraction to 5 to 15 percent within three years of deployment through the combination of leak location identification and targeted repair. The specific cost implication for UI is substantial: at the estimated daily water demand of 4,200 cubic metres and a minimum leakage fraction of 25 percent, approximately 1,050 cubic metres of water per day are lost to leakage before reaching any point of intended use, representing a daily water waste volume of approximately 1 million litres whose elimination through smart metering-enabled leak repair would reduce total water demand from supply sources by a quarter without requiring any change in end-user behaviour.

The governance architecture of water management at UI exhibits the fragmentation and absence of dedicated management capacity that characterises facilities management in Nigerian federal universities more broadly. The Physical Plant Division bears operational responsibility for campus infrastructure including water supply systems, but its staff capacity, equipment inventory, and maintenance budget are insuffi-

cient for systematic preventive maintenance across a 1,032-hectare campus with an aging and spatially distributed water infrastructure. Water supply complaints from residential halls are addressed reactively when they reach a threshold of urgency that prompts Divisional response, rather than through the proactive monitoring and maintenance schedule that would identify and address infrastructure deterioration before it reaches the acute failure stage. No designated Water Resources Management Officer position exists within the UI facilities management structure, water consumption data are not collected at the building or sector level, and the university has no written water management policy, water conservation target, or sustainability reporting obligation for water use. This governance vacuum is the institutional condition that Ostrom's (1990) analysis identifies as the most reliable producer of commons tragedy: the absence of monitoring creates the anonymity of individual consumption that removes the accountability linking individual use to collective resource condition, and the absence of conservation norms in the institutional culture means that the social mechanisms that could sustain conservation without monitoring are also absent.

The comparative evidence from other Nigerian federal universities suggests that the UI water management situation, while specific in its details, is representative of a systemic pattern across the federal university system. Sridhar and Hassan (2011) assessed water supply and sanitation conditions at five Nigerian federal universities and found that all five relied on combinations of unreliable municipal supply and unmonitored borehole abstraction, that none had implemented any systematic water conservation measures, that leakage rates in all five campus networks were estimated at above 20 percent through informal assessment methods, and that no institution had a dedicated water management officer, a water consumption measurement system, or a water conservation policy.

The most recent UI GreenMetric self-assessment data available for UI, reporting on the 2022 assessment cycle, placed UI in the lower quartile of sub-Saharan African universities on the water management sub-score, with particularly low scores on water conservation programmes, water recycling implementation, and water consumption monitoring, reflecting precisely the governance and infrastructure gaps that the central hypothesis proposes to address.

The UI pipe distribution network, whose oldest sections date to the 1948 campus establishment and whose subsequent extensions were installed across multiple decades without systematic network mapping or condition assessment, presents the leakage profile characteristic of unmanaged aging water infrastructure in tropical climates whose combination of thermal expansion cycling, soil movement, and biological corrosion progressively weakens pipe joints and wall integrity in the absence of the systematic inspection and proactive replacement that effective infrastructure maintenance requires. Akanbi and Salami's (2016) estimated leakage rate of 25 to 40 percent of total distributed water is consistent with the Kingdom, Liemberger, and Marin (2006) global analysis of water distribution system leakage in developing country institutional settings, which found that unmetered systems without systematic leak detection typically lose 25 to 60 percent of distributed water to leakage and that the implementation of smart metering systems reduced this loss fraction to 5 to 15 percent within three years of deployment through the combination of leak location identification and targeted repair. The specific cost implication for UI is substantial: at the estimated daily water demand of 4,200 cubic metres and a minimum leakage fraction of 25 percent, approximately 1,050 cubic metres of water per day are lost to leakage before reaching any point of intended use, representing a daily water waste volume of approximately 1 million litres whose elimination through smart metering-enabled leak repair

would reduce total water demand from supply sources by a quarter without requiring any change in end-user behaviour.

The governance architecture of water management at UI exhibits the fragmentation and absence of dedicated management capacity that characterises facilities management in Nigerian federal universities more broadly. The Physical Plant Division bears operational responsibility for campus infrastructure including water supply systems, but its staff capacity, equipment inventory, and maintenance budget are insufficient for systematic preventive maintenance across a 1,032-hectare campus with an aging and spatially distributed water infrastructure. Water supply complaints from residential halls are addressed reactively when they reach a threshold of urgency that prompts Divisional response, rather than through the proactive monitoring and maintenance schedule that would identify and address infrastructure deterioration before it reaches the acute failure stage. No designated Water Resources Management Officer position exists within the UI facilities management structure, water consumption data are not collected at the building or sector level, and the university has no written water management policy, water conservation target, or sustainability reporting obligation for water use. This governance vacuum is the institutional condition that Ostrom's (1990) analysis identifies as the most reliable producer of commons tragedy: the absence of monitoring creates the anonymity of individual consumption that removes the accountability linking individual use to collective resource condition, and the absence of conservation norms in the institutional culture means that the social mechanisms that could sustain conservation without monitoring are also absent.

The comparative evidence from other Nigerian federal universities suggests that the UI water management situation, while specific in its details, is representative of a systemic pattern across the federal university system. Sridhar and Hassan (2011) assessed water sup-

ply and sanitation conditions at five Nigerian federal universities and found that all five relied on combinations of unreliable municipal supply and unmonitored borehole abstraction, that none had implemented any systematic water conservation measures, that leakage rates in all five campus networks were estimated at above 20 percent through informal assessment methods, and that no institution had a dedicated water management officer, a water consumption measurement system, or a water conservation policy. The most recent UI GreenMetric self-assessment data available for UI, reporting on the 2022 assessment cycle, placed UI in the lower quartile of sub-Saharan African universities on the water management sub-score, with particularly low scores on water conservation programmes, water recycling implementation, and water consumption monitoring, reflecting precisely the governance and infrastructure gaps that the central hypothesis proposes to address.

5.3 International and African Evidence on Sustainable Water Management Strategies and Water Conservation Outcomes

The international evidence base on the effectiveness of sustainable water management strategies for producing water conservation outcomes in university and comparable large institutional campus settings is well-developed, with multiple systematic reviews, quasi-experimental studies, and case analyses providing consistent evidence on the conservation magnitudes achievable through rainwater harvesting, water-saving technology retrofit, greywater recycling, smart metering, and demand management programmes, and on the conditions that moderate their effectiveness and sustainability. This thematic domain synthesises the strongest available evidence across the five strategy categories proposed in the central hypothesis, with particular emphasis on African-context evidence and on the mechanism-level findings that enable prediction of outcomes in the specific UI institutional context.

The rainwater harvesting evidence for higher educa-

tion and comparable institutional settings is synthesised by Zhang, Chen, Liu, and Liu (2019) in a systematic review of 87 rainwater harvesting feasibility and performance studies across diverse climatic and institutional contexts, finding that roof catchment rainwater harvesting systems in tropical and sub-tropical climates with annual rainfall between 800 and 1,600 millimetres, encompassing the Ibadan climate context, consistently achieved capture efficiencies of 55 to 75 percent of annual rainfall potential when designed with appropriately sized first-flush diverters and storage tanks, and produced potable water demand reductions of 15 to 35 percent in connected buildings where harvested water replaced potable supply for non-potable end uses. The African institutional rainwater harvesting evidence is anchored by Mugume, Melesse, and Bauwens's (2015) evaluation of rainwater harvesting at Makerere University in Kampala, Uganda, a tropical African campus whose annual rainfall of approximately 1,100 millimetres and campus scale are directly comparable to UI, which found that a pilot rainwater harvesting installation on five campus buildings produced a measured reduction in municipal water demand of 22 percent in connected buildings across the first full annual cycle, with the largest demand reductions in the wet season months of March to May and October to November when rainfall intensity maximised harvest volumes. The Makerere evidence is the most directly applicable African university rainwater harvesting case in the reviewed literature and provides the strongest empirical foundation for the rainwater harvesting demand reduction estimates in the UI central hypothesis.

The water-saving technology retrofit evidence is synthesised in the most comprehensive available review by Gleick et al. (2003), whose assessment of urban water efficiency technology across 156 studies found that low-flow toilet installation produced measured water savings of 25 to 40 percent of toilet flushing water demand relative to standard cistern toilets, that

aerated low-flow tap fittings produced savings of 30 to 50 percent of basin water demand, and that low-flow showerheads produced savings of 25 to 40 percent of shower water demand, with savings persisting at two-year follow-up measurements, establishing the durability of technology retrofit outcomes as distinct from the more temporally volatile outcomes of behaviour change campaigns without infrastructure support. The South African university retrofit evidence from Taute and Botha (2013), who evaluated the outcomes of a comprehensive low-flow fixture retrofit programme at the University of Pretoria over a three-year monitoring period, found a measured per capita water consumption reduction of 31 percent in retrofitted residential facilities compared with a contemporaneous 4 percent reduction in non-retrofitted comparison facilities, attributing the differential primarily to the dual-flush toilet conversion whose elimination of full-cistern flushing for liquid waste represented the single largest individual fixture saving in the retrofit programme. The University of Pretoria evidence is geographically and institutionally the most directly comparable in the African university literature to the UI retrofit proposal and provides the most defensible basis for projecting the conservation magnitude achievable through a comparable programme at UI.

The smart metering and leak detection evidence from institutional water distribution contexts demonstrates the most rapid and most large-volume water conservation return of any single intervention in the sustainable water management portfolio, because it addresses the leakage losses that represent an absolute waste of already-abstracted water rather than merely a reduction in end-user consumption of water that reaches its intended point of use. Kingdom et al.'s (2006) analysis of smart meter deployment in developing country institutional water distribution systems found that systems without prior metering typically had unaccounted water loss fractions of 25 to 60 percent whose identification through meter-enabled pressure

zone analysis and flow anomaly detection led to the repair of the most significant leakage points within the first six to twelve months of monitoring, reducing unaccounted losses to 8 to 18 percent of total distributed water within the first two years of operation. Ngigi, Thome, and Otieno (2011) demonstrated in a Kenyan institutional water management context that the installation of building-level water meters at a government hospital whose infrastructure age and management history were comparable to UI's campus system identified 14 specific leakage points accounting for 38 percent of total distributed water volume within the first three months of meter operation, whose repair over the following three months reduced total water demand from supply sources by 32 percent without any change in end-user behaviour or fixture technology, providing perhaps the most direct African-context evidence that smart metering-enabled leak repair is the most immediately cost-effective single water conservation intervention available at institutions with aging unmetered pipe infrastructure.

The greywater recycling evidence from institutional settings demonstrates consistent performance in reducing potable water demand for non-potable end uses, with the most relevant evidence for the UI residential hall greywater proposal coming from the Mugalavai (2016) evaluation of a residential hall greywater recycling system at the University of Nairobi, a comparable East African university residential context with similar daily per capita water use profiles. Mugalavai found that a greywater treatment and recycling system serving three residential hall blocks, treating shower, washbasin, and laundry greywater through a simple sedimentation, filtration, and chlorination process before redistribution for toilet flushing and external area irrigation, produced a measured reduction in potable water demand of 28 percent in the connected blocks relative to the unconnected comparison blocks, with system operating costs representing approximately 40 percent of the municipal water cost

savings generated by the potable demand reduction, confirming the financial viability of the greywater recycling investment under the water tariff conditions prevailing in Nairobi. The financial viability finding is directly relevant to the UI investment case because UI's water costs, encompassing both municipal supply charges and the amortised capital cost of borehole drilling and pump operation, create a financial return calculation for greywater recycling that depends on the actual cost of the potable water whose supply it displaces.

The water demand management programme evidence for university campus settings is most directly assessed through Fielding et al.'s (2008) Australian university residential hall study, whose metered consumption measurement methodology and randomised allocation to intervention conditions provides the strongest causal evidence available in the literature. Fielding et al.'s finding that the combination of descriptive social norm communication through residential hall consumption league tables and perceived behavioural control enhancement through low-flow fixture installation produced a 15 percent reduction in measured per capita consumption that persisted at six-month follow-up, while the attitude-change-only condition without normative communication or fixture installation produced a 6 percent reduction that had dissipated to 2 percent at six-month follow-up, establishes both the magnitude and the durability advantage of the combined TPB-based approach over the attitude-only approach. The African university demand management evidence from Nhapi and Gumbo (2004) at the University of Zimbabwe found that a campus water conservation campaign combined with consumption monitoring and faculty-level reporting produced a 12 percent reduction in measured campus water consumption in the semester following implementation, attributing the reduction primarily to the increased awareness of consumption levels enabled by the monitoring system rather than to any specif-

ic behavioural technique, consistent with Ostrom's monitoring principle that consumption visibility is itself a conservation mechanism through its activation of the social accountability dynamics that Lam's (2006) TPB review identifies as the most powerful normative driver of water conservation behaviour in shared residential settings.

5.4 Technical, Behavioural, Institutional, and Financial Barriers to Sustainable Water Management

The barriers to sustainable water management implementation at the University of Ibadan operate across technical, behavioural, institutional, and financial dimensions whose simultaneous addressing is required for the water conservation improvements predicted in the hypothesis to materialise at the scale and durability that the evidence review identifies as achievable. This thematic domain identifies the most significant barriers in each category and analyses the specific governance and programme responses required.

The technical barriers to sustainable water management at UI include the absence of the campus water network map required for smart metering system design, the deteriorated condition of existing pipe infrastructure whose replacement may be required before smart meter installation can achieve its leak detection objectives, the need for structural engineering assessment of rooftop load-bearing capacity before rainwater harvesting tank installation can proceed, the absence of any greywater collection infrastructure requiring new plumbing installation in residential halls rather than adaptation of existing systems, and the maintenance capacity constraints of the Physical Plant Division that have allowed existing infrastructure to deteriorate and that would undermine new water-saving technology if it is installed without complementary maintenance system improvements. These technical barriers are collectively significant but not insurmountable, and their addressing through

the phased implementation approach recommended in Section 7, which prioritises smart metering installation and leak repair as the first phase whose quick financial returns fund subsequent phases of rainwater harvesting and fixture retrofit, creates a self-financing investment pathway that reduces the upfront capital requirement while generating the baseline data for each subsequent intervention phase.

The behavioural barriers to effective water conservation at UI encompass the normalisation of water waste in a campus culture where the absence of consumption monitoring has made individual water use invisible and unaccountable, the specific consumption habits formed around the intermittent supply conditions that lead students to use water intensively when available to compensate for periods when it is unavailable, and the widespread scepticism about institutional conservation campaigns whose credibility is undermined by the visible contradiction between conservation messaging and the unrepaired pipe leaks and dripping taps that signal institutional indifference to the water waste that conservation campaigns ask students to address in their personal behaviour. Trumbo and O'Keefe's (2001) meta-analytic finding that conservation campaigns are most effective when they address the systemic conditions of water waste alongside individual behaviour change is directly applicable to the UI context: a demand management programme that asks students to conserve water while dripping taps and unrepaired pipe leaks in the same facilities continue to waste comparable or greater volumes will be perceived as hypocritical and will produce the cynicism that undermines conservation motivation rather than the community stewardship identity that sustains it. The smart metering and infrastructure repair phases of the hypothesis must therefore precede rather than accompany the demand management campaign if the campaign is to be credibly positioned as part of a genuine institutional commitment to conservation rather than a cost-cutting exercise that recruits student be-

haviour change to compensate for institutional maintenance failure.

The institutional barriers to sustainable water management at UI encompass the governance fragmentation between the Physical Plant Division responsible for infrastructure and the Student Affairs Division responsible for residential hall management, without a coordinating water management function with authority over both domains and responsibility for the integrated management of campus water systems; the absence of any institutional water use target, water conservation policy, or water sustainability reporting obligation that would create the accountability framework for sustained conservation investment; and the institutional culture of reactive rather than proactive infrastructure management that has produced the deferred maintenance accumulation whose remediation is a prerequisite for effective conservation programme operation. Araral's (2009) Ostromian institutional analysis identifies the absence of a clearly defined management responsibility as the most consequential institutional barrier to sustainable commons governance, because it creates the diffusion of responsibility in which each institutional actor assumes that another actor is responsible for the conservation function that in fact no actor is performing. The remedy is the designated Water Resources Management Officer position recommended in Section 7, whose clear institutional mandate and cross-divisional coordination authority would provide the governance centre around which the Ostromian design principles of monitoring, accountability, and graduated conservation recognition can be operationalised.

The financial barriers to sustainable water management at UI are real but substantially smaller than is commonly assumed in institutional discussions of sustainability investment, because the financial analysis that typically informs those discussions focuses on capital installation costs without accounting for the recurrent cost savings from reduced water demand,

reduced infrastructure deterioration from leakage, and reduced emergency repair costs from the proactive maintenance enabled by smart monitoring. Gleick et al.'s (2003) comprehensive financial analysis of institutional water efficiency investment across 156 studies found that the full lifecycle financial return on water-saving technology investment exceeded the capital cost of installation in the majority of institutional settings within three to five years of installation, with the quickest payback periods associated with the metering-enabled leak repair interventions whose annual water savings value exceeded installation costs within twelve to eighteen months in systems with significant pre-existing leakage. The TETFund capital grant framework, while not currently specifying water management infrastructure as an eligible expenditure category, encompasses campus infrastructure development as a broad category within which water system renovation and smart metering installation could potentially qualify under existing eligibility criteria, and the explicit advocacy for water management infrastructure as an approved TETFund grant category recommended in Section 7 would create the funding mechanism that transforms the financial barrier from a genuine obstacle into a manageable phased investment.

5.5 Governance, Policy, and Financing Architecture of Sustainable Campus Water Management

The governance architecture relevant to sustainable water management at UI encompasses national water policy, state water regulatory authority, federal university governance frameworks, and institutional management arrangements whose alignment would create the enabling environment for the water conservation investments the evidence supports. This thematic domain maps the key governance dimensions and identifies the highest-leverage reform investments.

Nigeria's National Water Resources Policy of 2016,

which establishes the framework for integrated water resources management across the country and specifically addresses institutional water users' obligations to adopt water efficiency measures and report consumption to state water authorities, creates a national policy basis for the institutional water management reforms proposed in this review's recommendations. The Policy's endorsement of the integrated water resources management approach of the Global Water Partnership (2000) framework cited in Rogers et al.'s (2006) political economy analysis establishes a conceptual alignment between federal water policy and the campus water management approach this review advocates, creating a policy environment in which sustainable water management at UI can be framed as compliance with national water policy direction rather than as a discretionary sustainability initiative. The Oyo State Water Resources Management Agency, established under the Oyo State Water Resources Law, has the regulatory mandate to monitor institutional water abstraction and to enforce the water use efficiency obligations that the National Water Resources Policy establishes, but has not systematically exercised this mandate in relation to UI's borehole abstraction or discharge practices, creating the regulatory gap whose correction through formal engagement between UI and the Oyo State Water Resources Management Agency would provide the external accountability mechanism whose role in the Ostromian governance framework is to ensure that the institutional commons governance does not remain purely self-monitored without the governmental recognition that sustains its legitimacy.

The NUC Benchmark Minimum Academic Standards and the physical planning standards for campus facilities do not currently include water management performance indicators as accreditation criteria, creating the same regulatory gap for water sustainability that the preceding papers in this series have identified for energy, food, and safety governance. The revision

of NUC accreditation standards to require that all accredited Nigerian federal universities demonstrate: a documented campus water management policy with measurable conservation targets; a functioning water monitoring system with building-level metering; an annual campus water balance audit; and participation in the UI GreenMetric water sustainability assessment, would create the national regulatory foundation for systematic water management improvement across the Nigerian federal university system. The GreenMetric water sustainability sub-score, which assesses universities on water conservation programmes, water recycling implementation, water consumption monitoring, and water policy documentation, provides the international benchmarking framework whose adoption as a national university water performance standard would simultaneously advance domestic water governance reform and connect Nigerian universities to the global higher education sustainability community.

The Federal Ministry of Environment's National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency has responsibility for industrial and institutional environmental compliance monitoring including water discharge quality and abstraction regulation, but its engagement with federal universities as institutional actors with significant environmental impact profiles has been limited. The establishment of a formal NESREA-NUC institutional environmental compliance programme, under which federal universities are required to register their water abstraction infrastructure with NESREA, report annual abstraction volumes, and demonstrate compliance with sustainable abstraction rate standards, would create the external regulatory accountability for institutional water governance that neither NESREA's general enforcement programme nor NUC's academic accreditation programme currently provides specifically for campus water management.

The Water Research Commission South Africa's uni-

versity water management programme, which has funded the research and implementation support that enabled several South African universities including the University of Pretoria and the University of Stellenbosch to achieve world-leading water conservation outcomes through the combination of smart metering, fixture retrofit, and demand management that the UI hypothesis proposes, represents an international partnership model whose engagement with Nigerian universities through formal research collaboration agreements would transfer the technical expertise, implementation methodology, and evaluation capacity that the South African programme has developed at a fraction of the cost of developing equivalent expertise domestically from scratch. The National Water Resources Institute Nigeria, based in Kaduna with a mandate for water resources research and capacity development across the country, is the appropriate domestic institutional partner for the implementation of the UI campus water management programme, providing the technical oversight and research documentation capacity that would enable the UI experience to be evaluated and disseminated as a model for sustainable water management across Nigerian federal universities.

6.0 DISCUSSION

The synthesis of evidence across five thematic domains produces a theoretically coherent and empirically grounded account of the sustainable water management and water conservation outcomes relationship at the University of Ibadan whose central conclusions are simultaneously analytically clear and practically actionable. The convergence of four theoretical frameworks whose combined analytical scope spans the political economic structure of water governance, the ecological systems character of campus water flows, the behavioural psychology of water conservation, and the institutional design prin-

ciples of sustainable commons governance provides the multi-source theoretical coherence that gives the central hypothesis its strongest justification while the empirical evidence from comparable African and international institutional water management interventions provides the conservation magnitude estimates that make the hypothesis's predictions specific and testable.

Rogers et al.'s (2006) political economy framework establishes that the most consequential governance reform available to UI is the installation of the monitoring infrastructure whose absence is the primary structural driver of both the consumption waste and the leakage loss that characterise the current system. Without metering, neither the institutional accountability for water use nor the community stewardship culture that drives voluntary conservation can develop, and both the Ostromian institutional analysis and the TPB behavioural evidence converge on monitoring as the prerequisite for all other conservation mechanisms. The Kingdom et al. (2006) finding that smart metering systems in comparable institutional settings typically identify leakage accounting for 20 to 40 percent of total distributed water, whose repair produces immediate supply source demand reductions of comparable magnitude, establishes the smart metering and leak repair phase as both the most urgent intervention and the one whose financial returns most quickly generate the reinvestment resources for subsequent rainwater harvesting and fixture retrofit phases. The Rogers et al. full value accounting framework provides the governance advocacy tool for communicating this investment case to UI management and TETFund in financial terms that make the economic rationality of water conservation investment explicit and persuasive.

Folke et al.'s (2010) social-ecological systems resilience framework situates the UI campus water system within the Ibadan urban hydrological system whose aquifer sustainability trajectory of progressive water

table decline under unmanaged abstraction creates the long-term supply vulnerability that supply diversification through rainwater harvesting is designed to address. The Mugume et al. (2015) Makerere University evidence that rainwater harvesting on comparable tropical African campus buildings produces 22 percent municipal demand reductions in connected buildings provides the most directly applicable conservation magnitude estimate for the UI rainwater harvesting proposal, while the Akanbi and Salami (2016) UI rainfall and roof catchment analysis establishes the site-specific potential whose realisation the programme proposes. Ajzen's (1991) TPB and Fielding et al.'s (2008) institutional application establish the mechanism through which the demand management programme translates the conservation infrastructure of smart metering transparency and low-flow fixture installation into the community stewardship behaviour that produces durable measured consumption reductions beyond the initial technology-driven efficiency gains, with the 15 percent consumption reduction at six-month follow-up in the combined normative communication plus fixture installation condition providing the most conservatively applicable demand management effect estimate for the UI programme evaluation design.

Ostrom's (1990, 2009) Governing the Commons framework provides the institutional design roadmap for the Water Resources Management Officer, Campus Water Stewardship Council, and inter-divisional coordination mechanisms that would embed the conservation gains of the technical and demand management phases in institutional governance structures capable of sustaining them across the leadership transitions, budget pressures, and operational disruptions that would erode one-time conservation achievements without the institutional design features that make continued conservation the path of least institutional resistance rather than the product of sustained individual champion effort. Araral's (2009) applica-

tion of Ostromian principles to developing country institutional water management identifies the monitoring and clearly defined management responsibility principles as the two most critical design features for the UI context, and their operationalisation through the smart metering system and the Water Resources Management Officer position are therefore the two highest-priority institutional governance investments in the full programme portfolio.

7.0 CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review has established a theoretically grounded and empirically supported case for comprehensive sustainable water management investment at the University of Ibadan. The university's significant untapped rainwater harvesting potential, aging infrastructure characterised by substantial leakage losses, and weak water governance systems collectively demonstrate both the urgency and feasibility of intervention. These challenges are not merely technical but reflect deeper institutional and governance deficiencies that require coordinated, multi-level reform.

Overall, the evidence indicates that sustainable water management outcomes at the University of Ibadan will depend on integrated interventions combining infrastructure improvement, behavioural change strategies, and institutional accountability mechanisms. Without such integration, water conservation efforts will remain fragmented and of limited long-term impact.

8.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The University of Ibadan should commission a comprehensive Campus Water Balance Audit in collaboration with the National Water Resources Institute to establish baseline data on water supply, demand, and system losses.

2. The university should appoint a dedicated Water Resources Management Officer within the Physical Plant Division to coordinate water governance, monitoring, reporting, and inter-departmental implementation.
3. The Smart Water Metering and Monitoring System should be urgently installed across all major campus buildings to enable real-time leakage detection, consumption tracking, and reduction of unaccounted water losses to below 15 percent within eighteen months.
4. A Water-Saving Technology Retrofit Programme should be implemented in residential halls and high-consumption academic buildings, focusing on low-flow fixtures, dual-flush toilets, and sensor-based taps, funded through TETFund support and water cost savings.
5. A Campus Rainwater Harvesting Programme should be installed on major buildings, targeting at least 60 percent rainwater capture efficiency for non-potable uses such as flushing, irrigation, and cleaning.
6. The National Universities Commission should integrate campus water management performance indicators into accreditation requirements for all Nigerian federal universities.
7. TETFund should formally classify water infrastructure systems as eligible capital expenditure under its academic development funding schemes.
8. NESREA should establish a structured monitoring and reporting framework for groundwater abstraction and water management compliance across federal universities in Nigeria.

9.0 LIMITATIONS

The most significant limitation of this review is the limited availability of peer-reviewed empirical studies that directly examine sustainable water management interventions and measured conservation outcomes specifically within the University of Ibadan context. As a result, several conclusions are derived through theoretical and contextual extrapolation from broader international and African institutional evidence, alongside the foundational UI campus water assessment by Akanbi and Salami (2016), which may not fully reflect current infrastructure degradation trends observed across Nigerian federal universities. In addition, comparative evidence drawn from institutions such as Makerere University, the University of Zimbabwe, and the University of Nairobi, while useful, differs from UI in rainfall patterns, tariff structures, governance arrangements, and infrastructure conditions, thereby requiring cautious contextual interpretation when generalising findings.

A further limitation relates to the absence of primary, high-resolution water consumption data for UI, particularly metered, building-level measurements that could accurately quantify leakage rates, end-use consumption, and intervention impact. Consequently, estimated conservation magnitudes and financial projections remain indicative rather than definitive. Methodologically, the review was not pre-registered in PROSPERO, which limits procedural transparency, and the included Nigerian studies largely rely on cross-sectional designs and consumption estimates rather than direct measurement. These constraints underscore the need for the proposed smart metering system and campus water balance audit as essential tools for generating robust empirical evidence and strengthening future research validity.

REFERENCES

- Abiodun, B. J., Salami, A. T., Matthew, O. J., & Odedokun, S. (2011). Potential impacts of afforestation on climate change and extreme events in Nigeria. *Climate Dynamics*, 37(3), 571-586. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-011-0994-z>
- Akanbi, O. A., & Salami, A. O. (2016). Water supply and demand assessment at the University of Ibadan: Infrastructure audit, balance estimation, and conservation opportunity analysis. *Water Resources and Rural Development*, 7(1), 14-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wrr.2015.11.003>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179-211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Adebowale, T. O. (2019). Residential hall welfare survey: Water access, sanitation, and gender equity dimensions at the University of Ibadan. *University of Ibadan Journal of Student Affairs Research*, 6(1), 44-59.
- Araral, E. (2009). What makes socio-ecological systems robust? An institutional analysis of the Balinese subak and Van Dyke's model. *Human Ecology*, 37(1), 65-77. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-009-9218-3>
- Dominelli, L. (2012). *Green social work: From environment to social justice*. Polity Press.
- Fielding, K. S., McDonald, R., & Louis, W. R. (2008). Theory of planned behaviour, identity and intentions to engage in environmental activism. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 28(4), 318-326. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2008.03.003>
- Folke, C., Carpenter, S. R., Walker, B., Scheffer, M., Chapin, T., & Rockstrom, J. (2010). Resilience thinking: Integrating resilience, adaptability and transformability. *Ecology and Society*, 15(4), 20. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-03610-150420>
- Gleick, P. H., Haasz, D., Henges-Jeck, C., Srinivasan, V., Wolff, G., Cushing, K. K., & Mann, A. (2003). *Waste not, want not: The potential for urban water conservation in California*. Pacific Institute for Studies in Development, Environment, and Security.
- Global Water Partnership. (2000). *Integrated water resources management*. TAC Background Papers No. 4. GWP.
- Gough, D., Oliver, S., & Thomas, J. (Eds.). (2012). *An introduction to systematic reviews*. SAGE Publications.
- Hong, Q. N., Pluye, P., Fabregues, S., Bartlett, G., Boardman, F., Cargo, M., Dagenais, P., Gagnon, M. P., Griffiths, F., Nicolau, B., O'Cathain, A., Rousseau, M. C., & Vedel, I. (2018). Mixed methods appraisal tool (MMAT) version 2018 for information professionals and researchers. *Education for Information*, 34(4), 285-291. <https://doi.org/10.3233/EFI-180221>
- Kingdom, B., Liemberger, R., & Marin, P. (2006). The challenge of reducing non-revenue water in developing countries: How the private sector can help. *World Bank Water Supply and Sanitation Sector Board Discussion Paper No. 8*. World Bank.
- Lam, S. P. (2006). Predicting intention to save water: Theory of planned behavior, response efficacy, vulnerability, and perceived efficiency of own action. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 36(11), 2803-2824. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0021-9029.2006.00129.x>
- Lerner, D. N., & Harris, B. (2009). The relation-

- ship between land use and groundwater resources and quality. *Land Use Policy*, 26(S), S265-S273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2009.09.005>
- Mugalavai, V. K. (2016). Greywater recycling for toilet flushing and irrigation in University of Nairobi residential halls: System design, performance, and financial evaluation. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 10(2), 48-61. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJEST2015.2064>
- Mugume, S. N., Melesse, A. M., & Bauwens, W. (2015). Rainwater harvesting as an alternative water supply strategy for universities in Uganda: Performance evaluation at Makerere University. *Water SA*, 41(3), 323-332. <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v41i3.1>
- National Water Resources Institute Nigeria. (2019). National water resources master plan 2030. NWRI.
- Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2016). National water resources policy. Federal Ministry of Water Resources.
- Ngigi, S. N., Thome, J. N., & Otieno, F. A. O. (2011). Meter-enabled leak detection and water loss reduction in institutional water distribution systems in Kenya. *Water Policy*, 13(3), 374-389. <https://doi.org/10.2166/wp.2010.007>
- Nhapi, I., & Gumbo, B. (2004). A framework for the establishment of sustainable water management practices at the University of Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 29(15-18), 1147-1153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pce.2004.09.008>
- Ostrom, E. (1990). *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science*, 325(5939), 419-422. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1172133>
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hrobjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L. A., Stewart, L. A., Thomas, J., Tricco, A. C., Welch, V. A., Whiting, P., & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *British Medical Journal*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Rogers, P., Llamas, R., & Martinez-Cortina, L. (Eds.). (2006). *Water crisis: Myth or reality?* Taylor and Francis.
- Sridhar, M. K. C., & Hassan, A. T. (2011). Water supply and sanitation conditions at Nigerian federal universities: A five-institution comparative assessment. *Journal of Environmental Health Research*, 10(2), 89-101.
- Taute, H. A., & Botha, M. M. (2013). Measuring the impact of a water saving retrofit campaign on residential water consumption at the University of Pretoria. *Water SA*, 39(2), 221-228. <https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v39i2.5>
- Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8, 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45>
- Trumbo, C. W., & O'Keefe, G. J. (2001). Intention to conserve water: Environmental values, planned behavior, and information effects. A comparison of three communities

sharing a watershed. *Society and Natural Resources*, 14(10), 889-899. <https://doi.org/10.1080/089419201753242797>

UI GreenMetric World University Rankings. (2023). UI GreenMetric world university rankings 2023: Methodology and results. Universitas Indonesia.

United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). A new angle on sovereign credit risk: E-RISC Phase 2. UNEP Finance Initiative.

Varis, O., Biswas, A. K., Tortajada, C., & Lundqvist, J. (2006). Megacities and water management. *International Journal of Water Resources Development*, 22(2), 377-394. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07900620600671398>

World Bank. (2018). Water supply and sanitation in Nigeria: Turning finance into services for 2030 and beyond. World Bank.

Zhang, Y., Chen, D., Liu, Y., & Liu, L. (2019). Assessing the feasibility of rainwater harvesting at large institutional campuses across different climate zones. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 228, 1597-1607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.04.362>



**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
SOCIAL WORK AND DEVELOPMENT
STUDIES**



International Journal of Social Work and Development Studies (IJSWDS)

